

COUNCIL ADDENDUM 1 Public Engagement

4.30PM, THURSDAY, 14 DECEMBER 2023 COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL

ADDENDUM

ITEM		Page
58	WRITTEN QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.	5 - 6
59	DEPUTATIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.	7 - 10

Council Agenda Item 58

Subject: Written questions from members of the public.

Date of meeting: 14 December 2023

A period of not more than fifteen minutes shall be allowed for questions submitted by a member of the public who either lives or works in the area of the authority at each ordinary meeting of the Council.

Every question shall be put and answered without discussion, but the person to whom a question has been put may decline to answer. The person who asked the question may ask one relevant supplementary question, which shall be put and answered without discussion.

The following written questions have been received from members of the public.

1. Question from: John Mills

Why is no protection being offered, by The Council, for the school which has the highest percentage of pupils with a disability or the highest percentage of teachers with a disability? Shouldn't the school be ringfenced, especially when a staff member has experienced ableism in a Brighton and Hove school previously?

Councillor Taylor / Helliwell, Joint Chair(s) of Children, Families & Schools Committee will reply.

2. Question from: Mo Marsh

Would Cllr Taylor agree with me that the citywide declining school population, and budget restrictions presents the administration with huge challenges determining admissions policies which need to be addressed carefully and sensitively involving all stakeholders, including of course the increasing numbers of pupils with additional needs identified since Covid and ever diminishing resources

Councillor Taylor / Helliwell, Joint Chair(s) of Children, Families & Schools Committee will reply.

3. Question from: Christopher Hawtree

Would Councillor Sankey please outline the steps by which this Authority envisages the use and implementation of Artificial Intelligence for the processing and supply of its public services?

Councillor Sankey, Leader of the Council will reply.

4. Question from: Laura King

We are constantly told this Brighton and Hove City Council is short of money, yet the budget for 2023/24 is £895million. How is this not enough for the city's upkeep and goods and services delivery?

Councillor Sankey, Leader of the Council will reply.

5. Question from: Rohan Lowe

What ideas are being look at on improving the current Hove Station footbridge as part of the neighbourhood plan so that it is more as accessible as possible for people with disabilities?

Councillor Robins, Chair of Culture, Heritage, Sport, Tourism & Economic Development Committee will reply.

6. Question from: Nigel Furness

Since the construction of the i360 was enabled in 2014, our city now has an estimated debt of £51 million and rising. What are this Administration's plans to mitigate and prevent further losses?

Councillor Sankey, Leader of the Council will reply.

Council Agenda Item 59

Subject: Deputations from members of the public.

Date of meeting: 14 December 2023

A period of not more than fifteen minutes shall be allowed at each ordinary meeting of the Council for the hearing of deputations from members of the public. Each deputation may be heard for a maximum of five minutes following which one Member of the council, nominated by the mayor, may speak in response. It shall then be moved by the mayor and voted on without discussion that the spokesperson for the deputation be thanked for attending and its subject matter noted.

Notification of one Deputation has been received. The spokesperson is entitled to speak for 5 minutes.

(1) Deputation concerning Bright Start Nursery

Supported by:

Edward Armston-Sheret Kiran Flynn Suda Perera Paul Gilbert Alexander Paterson

Summary of deputation:

We are concerned by Brighton and Hove City Council's plans to cut Bright Start Nursery and move the service to the Tarner Centre. We believe they represent a closure of the nursery in all but name and are asking for a delay to these plans until 2025/26 to allow for a proper consideration of other options.

Bright Start is a fantastic nursery. The decision to cut the nursery is short-sighted. Brighton parents and carers are already struggling to find affordable childcare in the city centre. Cutting this service will drive families out of the North Laine.

The 'sufficiency survey' of childcare used to justify the cuts to this service does not take account of the fact that many nurseries are on the verge of closure or the fact that city centre childcare locations are used by people from outside of the city.

We understand that the Council is in a difficult financial position. Parents and carers have long expressed their willingness to work collaboratively with Council Officers and Councillors to secure the future of Bright Start. But these offers have been ignored, the move and cuts are now being rushed through. Parents and carers are only being consulted on the 'operating model' at the new site, and the wider community is not being consulted at all.

Alternative options that would save money while preserving the service have not been properly considered. We have also not been given appropriate detail about the plans, how the savings will be achieved, the suitability of the new building, and details of the service that will be provided there. This leaves us concerned that the proposed

changes, which will be hugely disruptive children, will lead to a worse service and may not achieve the financial savings hoped for by the Council.

(2) Deputation concerning proposed closure of St Peter's Primary and Nursery School

Supported by:

Anika Carpenter
Carole Ward
Kim Enticknapp
Leanne Pocock
Laura Whittington
Alice Keogh
Lucyna Taylor
Patricia Sacre
Kylie Wakeford

Summary of deputation:

Concerns raised by parents/carers and other members of the community regarding the proposed closure of St Peter's Primary and Nursery School.

Concerns with regards to using falling pupil numbers as a reason for closing the school and nursery

It hasn't accounted for net migration predictions and trends. Net migration is unusually high – especially the last two years.

Concerns regarding the threat to SEND

There are not enough surplus places for all students starting academic year September 2024. Students who live in nearby West Sussex have not been taken into account.

Concerns regarding discrimination

There is no clear guidance on how this benefits SEND children (those awaiting EHCP and those that have EHCP), those with English as a second language, lower incomes (Early Years entitlement), and single-parent families.

Concerns regarding finding alternative places for St Peter's pupils Committee meeting states that there are 4 schools within 1.0 miles of St Peter's. Out of those four options, two are faith schools – one CofE and one Catholic.

Concerns regarding mental health

There is nothing to address the upheaval of those entering Year 6 in 2024 who would have to change schools again after their primary education ends.

Concerns regarding St Peter's nursery children

In only 32 constituencies out of 533 did early years centres have more than 50 spaces for every 100 under-fives.

Concerns regarding the proximity to West Sussex border

St Peter's close proximity to the West Sussex border has a huge impact on the school and its pupils, with just under half of students coming from this area.

Concerns regarding the lack of local nursery school provision

St Peter's Nursery is one of very few school-led nurseries/local education nursery (council funded) in Brighton and Hove, and the only one in the South Portslade area and yet it was given just three sentences.

Concerns regarding how the decision to propose closure was reached No outline of how the school could be kept open have been considered by the council before coming to this decision.

Failure prevent situations that adversely affect the local community
The Council said that the issue of unfilled school places 'has been kicked down the road for too long and left until this moment'.

Plus

Failures to manage budgets:

Failure to support the needs of the community:

Failure to adhere to Department of Education policy Protecting the nursery (local authority)

Failure to address the equality impact of closing St Peter's School and nursery school Concerns around the closure of the popular local authority nursery (council funded) Concerns surrounding the timing of the consultation

Concerns around the Children, Families, and Schools committee

(3) Deputation concerning proposed closure of St Bartholomew's C of E Primary School.

Supported by:

Azhar Naeem Helen Banks Rachel Christie-Davies Leanne Wulitich Keely Levy Emily Thomas Tessa Pacey

Summary of deputation:

St. Bartholomew's School has been an established part of Brighton for 150 years. At the heart of the school's foundation was a commitment to the education of the poor and vulnerable. A century and a half later, sadly, that need is still more than evident.

The Council's proposal to close our school is something that will profoundly impact vulnerable children and their families. Whilst acknowledging the need for cost-saving measures, the process that has been implemented lacks planning and totally disregards the effects on some of the most disadvantaged communities within our city.

Our school supports diverse groups, exceeding local and national averages in SEND, EHCP, Pupil Premium, EAL, and Global Majority pupils, including refugee families. This process lacks an anti-racist approach, and disadvantages BRM families. The potential closure poses a threat to opportunities for our students, and raises concerns about systemic discrimination.

The Council's proposal ignores the vulnerability of our children and will disrupt crucial support systems for them and their families. The proposal reinforces disadvantage and sends a message of neglect to these vulnerable groups, all of whom will be

disproportionally affected. We are urging the Council to reconsider, to work with us and our families rather than against us, and to seek alternative solutions which are collaborative and will not further place our children in a less favourable position to others in the city.

In conclusion, we want to emphasise the welfare of our children and halt the closure of St Bartholomew's, which will only serve to deprive children of opportunity and security. Let us unite to prioritise their voices and well-being in this critical decision.